

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,638	03/24/2004	Jun Feng	DPP-IV-5004-C3	8935
32793 7590 08/28/2007 TAKEDA SAN DIEGO, INC.			EXAMINER	
	CE CENTER DRIVE		HABTE, KAHSAY	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
_		10/809,638	FENG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kahsay Habte	1624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the mail of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		, ,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/6/2	<u>007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1,7-15,19,28,29,32-38 and 48 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
• • • •	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1,7-15,19,28,29,32-38 and 48</u> are sub	piect to restriction and/or election	requirement			
0)23	Claim(s) 1,1-13,19,20,29,32-30 and 40 are suc	oject to restriction and/or election	requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
•						
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)	<ul><li>☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li><li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ul>	s have been received				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) M Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:				
rape	er No(s)/Mail Date	J				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1, 7-15, 19, 28-29, 32-38 and 48 are pending in this application.

2. This restriction requirement replaces the previous restriction requirement. In order to do effective search, it is deemed necessary to replace this restriction requirement. There are two Non-Final Rejections in this case. Note that the invention so broad because of the definition of U and V.

The examiner suggests that applicants delete the substituents on the linker U and also define V. According to the current claim language, V is any amine or V is any nitrogen containing heterocyclic ring.

Note that this case is similar to copending application 10/809,637. The prior art rejections cited in said copending application would apply in this instant case.

The examiner also would like to point out that there would be obviousness rejection under 103(a) issues because of the definition of linker U.

## Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 1, 19, 20 and 38 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the compounds of the working examples. Related structures and art-recognized equivalents will be grouped together for examination purposes. In the absence of significant prior art, remaining structures will also be grouped therewith.

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Mitchell Brustein on Aug. 20, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,638 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

August 21, 2007

Page 5